

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,682	10/05/2001	Jerome Fournier	Q66648	1857
7	590 12/17/2002			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			GRAY, JILL M	
wasnington, D	C 2003/-3213			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002	T

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 4			455			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/970,682	FOURNIER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
		Jill M Gray	1774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) 🔲 -	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) 🗌 -	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 5			

Application/Control Number: 09/970,682 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

More specifically, claim 1 is indefinite because the copolymer is not clearly defined. It is not clear if the copolymer is a copolymer of the resin and alkoxysilane or, a copolymer that has an alkoxysilane incorporated therein.

Claim 2 is indefinite because this claim uses improper Markush language. The suggested language is "selected from the group consisting of".

3. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74

Application/Control Number: 09/970,682

Art Unit: 1774

(Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claims 3, 5, and recites the broad recitation of the amount of copolymer (claim 3), the mineral filler (claim 5) and the amount of mineral filler (claim 7), and the claim also recites preferable ranges and amounts which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

4. Regarding claims 4 and 6, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Priority

5. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zaopo et al, 4,769,287 (Zaopo) in view of Keane et al, 4,503,124 (Keane).

Zaopo teaches an insulation material and insulated cable comprising a thermosetting or thermoplastic resin and a silanic monomer, but does not specifically teach the incorporation of mineral filler. Keane teaches insulated cables comprising thermosetting or thermoplastic resin having mineral filler incorporated therein to impart

9/970,682 Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/970,682

Art Unit: 1774

corona resistant properties. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the composition of Zaopo by incorporating

mineral fillers as taught by Zaopo to produce a corona resistant cable.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jill M Gray whose telephone number is 703.308.2381.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 703.308.0449. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.5408 for

regular communications and 703.305.3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

703.308.0651.

Jill*j*W-Gray Examiner

Art Unit 1774

jmg

December 16, 2002